

Report of the Strategic Director, Place to the meeting of Bradford South Area Committee to be held on 16 February 2017.

AF

Subject:

B6379 HUDDERSFIELD ROAD, WYKE - TRO OBJECTION

Summary statement:

This report considers an objection to a recently advertised Traffic Regulation Order for waiting restrictions on the B6379 Huddersfield Road, Wyke.

Ward: 30 Wyke

Steve Hartley Strategic Director Place

Report Contact: Andrew Smith

Principal Engineer Phone: (01274) 434674

E-mail: andrew.smith@bradford.gov.uk

Portfolio:

Regeneration, Planning and Transport

Overview & Scrutiny Area:

Environment and Waste Management





1.0 SUMMARY

1.1 This report considers an objection to a recently advertised Traffic Regulation Order for proposed waiting restrictions on the B6379 Huddersfield Road, Wyke.

2.0 BACKGROUND

- 2.1 The B6379 Huddersfield Road (between the A641 north and south) was identified in the Bradford 'Sites for Concern' document in 2016. There were 13 collisions in a 5 year period of which 6 resulted in pedestrian injury. As a result officers have devised a package of measures for various points on the section between Saddler Street and Wroe Place to include waiting restrictions, a pedestrian island and white lining amendments.
- 2.2 Wardens have also reported that parking takes place on both sides of the section of Huddersfield Road where there is a 'pinch-point' near to Balme Street, which creates local congestion, and often either partially or wholly on the footway, forcing pedestrians into the road. The B6379 forms part of a bus route, so as a result of this issue it is also difficult for buses and other large heavy goods vehicles to pass through.
- 2.3 A scheme was approved by the Bradford South Area Committee on 17 March 2016 as part of the 2016/17 Safer Roads schemes programme. The proposal was formally advertised between 28th October and 18th November 2016. Affected frontages were consulted by letter at that time. As a result one letter of objection, from a local café/team room was received. This is in respect of the proposed waiting restrictions on the section between Wroe Place and Wroe Crescent. The advertised proposal for this element of the overall scheme is detailed on plan. No. TDG/THS/BS/103355/CON-1A, attached as Appendix A.
- 2.4 The points of objection and corresponding officer comments are detailed below:

No.	Objectors Concerns	Officer Comments
1	This Café and Tea Rooms relies almost entirely on passing trade.	The proposed waiting restrictions are the minimum considered necessary to preserve safe sightlines and access at this location. Convenient alternative parking opportunities on nearby parts of Huddersfield Road and/or adjacent side roads will remain.
2	To our knowledge there have not been any collisions.	The B6379 between the A641 Huddersfield Road north and the A641 Huddersfield Road south has been identified as a 'Site for Concern'. 3 of the recorded collisions occurred on the length of Huddersfield Road that is the subject of this element of the scheme.
3	To our knowledge there have been no incidences of pedestrians having to walk in the road.	This has been observed by Wardens.
4	Recently when there were road works here, it resulted in a reduction	The proposed waiting restrictions are the minimum considered necessary to preserve





in takings by over a third. These proposals will destroy our business.

safe sightlines and access at this location. Convenient alternative parking opportunities on nearby parts of Huddersfield Road and/or adjacent side roads will remain.

Shops located here have created a desire line for pedestrian movements, so waiting restrictions will help maintain passage through for traffic in both directions, encourage vehicles to park sensibly either side of the 'pinch point', consider using the

nearby side streets in close proximity and maintain a safe area for pedestrians visiting

these businesses.

3 OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

3.1 Local ward members and Emergency Services have been consulted. The proposal is supported by ward members and Emergency Services.

4 FINANCIAL AND RESOURCE APPRAISAL

4.1 A budget of £7,000 has been allocated as part of the 2016/17 Safer Roads schemes programme.

5 RISK MANAGEMENT AND GOVERNANCE ISSUES

5.1 A failure to implement these restrictions would result in continuing safety and congestion issues.

6 LEGAL APPRAISAL

6.1 The options contained in this report are within the Council's general powers as Highway Authority and Traffic Regulation Authority.

7 OTHER IMPLICATIONS

7.1 EQUALITY & DIVERSITY

Due regard has been given to Section 149 of the Equality Act when determining the proposals in this report.

7.2 SUSTAINABILITY IMPLICATIONS

Measures to improve pedestrian safety encourage more sustainable transport modes

7.3 GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS IMPACTS

There is no impact on the Council's own and the wider District's carbon footprint and emissions from other greenhouse gasses arising from this report.





7.4 COMMUNITY SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

The recommended measures would improve road safety.

7.5 HUMAN RIGHTS ACT

None

7.6 TRADE UNION

None

7.7 WARD IMPLICATIONS

All ward members have been consulted on and support the proposals.

7.8 AREA COMMITTEE WARD PLAN IMPLICATIONS

None

8 NOT FOR PUBLICATION DOCUMENTS

8.1 None

9 OPTIONS

9.1 Members may propose an alternative course of action; in which case they will receive appropriate guidance from officers.

10 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 10.1 That the objection be overruled and the proposed measures be implemented as advertised.
- 10.1 That the objector be informed accordingly.

11.0 APPENDICES

11.1 Appendix A - plan. No. TDG/THS/BS/103355/CON-1A

12.0 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

12.1 City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council File Ref:HS/TRSS/BS/103355









